Faculty Senate Meeting: March 27, 2023 (12:30 PM – 1:45 PM)

Virtual Meeting

Senators: Craig Brascoe Michael Carroll Stephen Collins Darina Lepadatu Kent Altom M. Harper Noah McLaughlin Daniel Ferreira Albert Jimenez Susan Kirkpatrick Smith Minhao Dai Glen Meades Ann Mills Cameron Greensmith Ken Hoganson Humayun Zafar M. A. Karim Daniel Rogers Dale Vogelien David Bray William Griffiths Lantz Holtzhower Heather Pincock James Stinchcomb Austin Brown Shelby Meek Snehal Shirke Jillian Ford Ying Xie Ying Wang Tom Okie Jeff Wagner Hassan Pournaghshband Rebecca Hill Jeffrey Yunek Dabae Lee Randy Stuart Sumit Chakravarty Lin Li Paula Guerra

Guests: Maria Schramm, Tyler Reinagel, Chandra Floyd, Judy Reardon, Alexander McGee, Jamie McCandless, Kathy Schwaig, Pam Cole, James Taylor, Lynn Lamanac, Jim Sabourin, Geza Kogler, James Davis, Sumanth Yenduri, Ivan Pulinkala, Lesley Netter-Snowden, Doreen Wagner, Juliet Langman, Thierry Leger. Peter St. Pierre, Lori Lowder, LaJuan Simpson-Wilkey, Amy Buddie, Karen McDonnell, Adrian Epps, Robin Cheramie, Sonia Toson, McCree O'Kelley, Doug Moodie, David Garofalo, Nwakaego Nkumeh Walker, Neporcha Cone

**MoM**

Welcome – M. Todd Harper

Online Faculty Senate Meeting Expectations

a. Voting will be carried out electronically (link will be available in the chat window) and will be tracked. **Please only vote if you are a senator.** A non-senator voting will result in an immediate permanent ban from the faculty senate.

b. Use the “Raise your hand” feature in order to be recognized. iv. As we move forward with our senate meetings, the FSEC has heard from its members and agrees on the need to hold to correct parliamentary procedure. Motions will be preferred over discussion items so that we typically have action items on the floor. We would like to point out that there will be less time in our meetings used to announce our business items, so it will be more important than ever to be familiar with all documents pertaining to our meeting. To further promote discussion, the president of the faculty senate will begin by calling for dissenting opinions. If there are no dissenting voices, we will be able to call for a vote directly and increase efficiency in our meetings.

c. Please get familiar with Robert’s Rules of Order: https://assembly.cornell.edu/sites/default/files/roberts\_rules\_simplified.pdf

**Old Business:**

1. Incomplete policy (Dean Langman): Change one part of the incomplete policy which says incomplete must be removed by next year , not the next term. The idea behind it is to give students more time to complete the incomplete. Other universities like Boise state, Eastern Michigan, Ga Southern, Oakland university, Tennessee tech.

Glen Meades: Will undergraduate policy also drift to this?

Dean JL: That may be the plan. (MA Karim also agreed).

Motion to approve and seconded: Vote Tall:95%

1. Course Evaluations (Darina Lepadatu):

 Motion1(Course Evaluations): Vote on survey content 4 close ended question and 4 open ended questions

Dabae Lee: The order from options maybe changed strongly disagree.

 Wiliam Grifiiths: Can we have a vote to make sure we have a strong majority supporting this.

Rebeca Hill, Albert Jimenez, David Bray: I support Bill.

Minhao Dai: I support the list of questions.

Wiliam Grifiiths: Move to table to next meeting, while the task force polls the senators on the survey.

Humayun Zafar: why not poll on each question now?

Jeffery Yunek: Move Motion on the whole thing to next meeting.

Including Motion2 and Motion 3

Motion to delay all three votes has been approved. (72%)

Stephen Collins: Are we also voting on recommended strategies to improve response rate?

Darina Lepadatu: yes

**New Business:**

2. Allotted time for items pulled from the Consent Agenda: 1:15-1:30

 Consent Agenda: 1:10-1:15. (Below are listed the items on the consent agenda. I have also listed those faculty and administrators who submitted the motions. The five-minute time will be to determine which of these items should be pulled, not for discussion of the issues.)

• Minor Revisions to the Graduate Policy Catalog. (Anissa Vega) Brief note: At the February meeting, Senators Pincock and Hill expressed concerns over one of the revisions. They have since worked with Anissa and are satisfied with her revisions.

• Graduate Faculty Status. (Juliet Langman): to make it permanent, while retaining the ability for faculty members

• 1.2.3. The Graduate College (Juliet Langman): rename the graduate college and remove the paragraph of community college.

* Doctoral programs (Juliet Langman): Abstract to publication is modified, the comment that the dissertation defense at least 2 weeks prior be removed as well.

• Proposal for Clinical Faculty (Lynn Lamanac): the word lab has been added

• Revisions to University Handbook Section 3.7.2. (Anisa Vega): Expedited process for review

• Proposal for Lecturer changes (Lynn Lamanac): to add principal lectuer as the third category

• Proposal for Academic Professional changes (Lynn Lamanac): non tenure track information from BoR.

Vote to pass the consent agenda: 94%passed

3. Proposal for Administrative Evaluation Survey (M.A. Karim): 1:30-1:35

Side by side changes for admin survey are provided together with comments. Randy Stuart, seconded for discussion.

Is this survey for all admin? fall will be the initial date for the survey?

Minhao: will it be a 4 point scale?

 Karim: not sure.

 William Griffiths: The evaluation should be more than every 5 years.

Vote on Admin survey questions 97% yes

4. President's Report 1:35-1:40 : Strategic planning ( both Todd and Susan are on the committee) Consultant will do an external scanning and then facilitate on that in fall. STEM building in Marietta campus, to put shovel by January. We are hopeful (with help from Casey Tanner). Pegg’s banquet; presidential emerging global scholars. Make focus for them is to be leaders (elite group of honor’s students).

5. Provost's Report 1:40-1:45: P and T guideline and R2 benchmarking. Each disciplinary unit has to provide names of their benchmarking institution s and the P and T guidelines. To better understand the progress of the institution towards the r2

 For the Buck study, they have developed by disciplinary unit and by academic rank. The study has been submitted to the system office for their review. Once that is done FSEC will be shared the information then the budget would need to be considered.

8. Proposal for alternative five-year review (Jamie McCandless) (if insufficient time, postponed until April 17th meeting) proposal created by lecturer for Radow college. This will support the R2 roadmap. This will have lecturers, senior lecturers and principal lectuerers.

Vote on Admin survey questions 92% yes

Motion to adjourn: Approved 1:36 PM.(9 mins ahead of schedule)

Minutes: 12:30-12:35.

Appendix:

Course Evaluation Motions

**Motion 1.** The Taskforce on Course Evaluations proposes the attached course evaluation survey.

**Motion 2.** Instructors may provide 1% extracredit if response rates are over 50% and no more than 2% extracredit if response rates are over 75%. Extracredit incentives may be adopted optionally by instructors.  Digital records also need to be

**Motion 3.** **T**he course evaluation period will be extended to 4 weeks prior to the last day of the semester (for a regular 15-week semester).